Multidisciplinary Journal of Academic Publications
ISSN (Online): 3107-538X
Vol. 02, Issue 01, January – February 2026

MENTAL HEALTH NEEDS AND SERVICE GAPS IN JUVENILE DETENTION

Cathy Renee' Logan-Davis

Research Scholar, Criminal Justice, Kennedy University

Registration No.: KUSLS20220144203

Abstract

Juvenile detention facilities across the globe house a disproportionately high number of adolescents with diagnosable mental health disorders, yet the provision of adequate psychiatric services remains critically insufficient. The primary objective of this study was to examine the prevalence of mental health disorders among detained youth and identify the systemic service gaps that perpetuate unmet treatment needs. A descriptive-analytical research design was employed, utilizing secondary data synthesis from large-scale epidemiological studies, meta-analyses, and national survey databases published between 2008 and 2025. The hypothesis posited that detained youth exhibit significantly higher rates of psychiatric morbidity compared to the general adolescent population, and that fewer than 20% of those requiring mental health services actually receive them. Results confirmed that approximately 65–70% of detained youth meet criteria for at least one diagnosable mental health disorder, with conduct disorder, major depression, ADHD, and PTSD being the most prevalent conditions. Gender and racial disparities were prominent, with female detainees showing higher rates of depression and PTSD, and Black and male youth being least likely to receive needed services. The discussion highlights that structural barriers, including inadequate staffing, insurance gaps, fragmented referral systems, and stigma, collectively sustain these service deficits. The study concludes that without integrated, trauma-informed, and culturally responsive mental health frameworks embedded within juvenile justice systems, the cycle of untreated psychiatric illness, recidivism, and long-term psychosocial dysfunction will persist.

Keywords: *Juvenile Detention[1], Mental Health Disorders[2], Service Gaps[3], Adolescent Psychiatry[4], Recidivism[5].*

1. Introduction

The intersection of mental health and juvenile justice represents one of the most pressing yet systematically neglected areas in adolescent welfare globally. Adolescents entering the juvenile justice system carry a significantly disproportionate burden of psychiatric disorders relative to their non-incarcerated peers, and the facilities designed to hold them remain alarmingly ill-equipped to address these clinical needs (Teplin et al., 2002). Research consistently demonstrates that between 65% and 70% of youth in juvenile detention meet the diagnostic criteria for at least one mental health disorder, compared to approximately 9–22% of the general adolescent population (Shufelt & Cocozza, 2006; Wasserman et al., 2010). This staggering disparity underscores a systemic failure to recognize juvenile detention not merely as a correctional space, but as a critical point of contact for mental health intervention. The prevalence of specific psychiatric conditions among detained youth further illustrates the severity of this crisis. A comprehensive meta-analysis synthesizing data from 47 studies

across 19 countries reported that 61.7% of incarcerated male adolescents had conduct disorder, 10.1% had major depression, 17.3% had ADHD, and 8.6% had PTSD, while female adolescents exhibited even more alarming rates of depression at 25.8% and PTSD at 18.2% (Beaudry et al., 2021). These figures represent conditions that are not only clinically significant but also directly associated with elevated recidivism, substance misuse, interpersonal violence, and psychosocial deterioration across the lifespan (Fazel et al., 2008). Furthermore, the Northwestern Juvenile Project, a landmark longitudinal study tracking 1,829 youth over 16 years post-detention, found that more than half of male participants and nearly one-third of female participants continued to meet diagnostic criteria for a psychiatric disorder 15 years after their initial detention (Luna et al., 2024). Despite the constitutional mandate established in *Estelle v. Gamble* (1976) guaranteeing access to mental healthcare within correctional settings, the translation of this legal standard into clinical practice remains deeply inadequate. National surveys indicate that while 98% of juvenile residential facilities reported screening at least some youth for mental health needs by 2022, actual service delivery fails to match the identified need (Hockenberry, 2024). The gap between screening and treatment persists due to workforce shortages, fragmented inter-agency coordination, insurance discontinuities, and a pervasive correctional culture that prioritizes behavioral containment over therapeutic engagement (Elkington et al., 2020). This paper systematically examines the mental health needs of detained youth, quantifies the magnitude of existing service gaps, and proposes evidence-informed strategies for bridging these persistent deficits.

2. Literature Review

The empirical literature on mental health in juvenile detention has expanded considerably over the past two decades, yet the fundamental findings remain disturbingly consistent: detained youth are psychiatrically underserved. The foundational work of Teplin et al. (2002) at the Cook County Juvenile Temporary Detention Center established that nearly 70% of female detainees and 60% of male detainees met criteria for a psychiatric disorder excluding conduct disorder, with approximately half exhibiting comorbid conditions. This seminal study catalyzed a generation of research confirming the over-representation of psychopathology in juvenile custodial settings. Subsequent meta-analytic evidence further consolidated these findings. Fazel et al. (2008), analyzing 25 surveys involving 16,750 adolescents, reported that detained youth were approximately ten times more likely to experience psychotic illness than their community counterparts. The updated meta-analysis by Beaudry et al. (2021), incorporating 47 studies with 32,787 adolescents, confirmed persistently high prevalence rates while noting that females in detention exhibited significantly higher rates of internalizing disorders than males, a finding with direct implications for gender-responsive programming. More recently, Kenny et al. (2023) compared three large-scale surveys conducted in New South Wales over a 12-year period and found that while some diagnostic categories showed temporal fluctuation, overall rates of mental illness among detained youth remained remarkably stable and consistently exceeded general population estimates.

The literature on service gaps is equally sobering. Abram et al. (2008) reported that approximately 85% of detained youth with psychiatric disorders perceived at least one barrier to mental health services, with the most common being the belief that problems would resolve without professional help. The longitudinal findings from Luna et al. (2024) revealed that fewer than 20% of youth who needed mental health services received them at any point during 16 years of follow-up after detention, with stark inequities by sex, race, and diagnosis. Male and Black participants were significantly less likely to receive services compared to female and non-Hispanic White participants, and those with substance use disorders were particularly underserved relative to those with mood and anxiety disorders. Elkington et al. (2020) and the Council of State Governments (2023) documented that community-based mental health services for justice-involved youth remain chronically insufficient, with long waitlists and a complete absence of services in many rural communities. The National Academy for State Health Policy reported that in Washington state, nearly 80% of justice-involved youth had a behavioral health need prior to incarceration, yet only 32% received mental health services covered by Medicaid within 90 days of

release (NASHP, 2025). This body of literature collectively demonstrates that the problem is neither a lack of recognition nor an absence of evidence, but rather a profound failure of implementation and systemic coordination.

3. Objectives

1. To examine the prevalence and types of mental health disorders among adolescents detained in juvenile justice facilities using data from meta-analyses and national surveys published between 2008 and 2025.
2. To identify and analyze the systemic service gaps and structural barriers that prevent detained youth from receiving adequate mental health treatment, with particular focus on demographic disparities and post-release continuity of care.

4. Methodology

This study adopted a descriptive-analytical research design grounded in systematic secondary data synthesis. The research methodology involved the comprehensive compilation, critical appraisal, and integrative analysis of existing quantitative data from peer-reviewed meta-analyses, longitudinal epidemiological studies, national facility surveys, and government agency reports. The data sources included the Northwestern Juvenile Project (1,829 youth followed for 16 years), the meta-analysis by Beaudry et al. (2021) encompassing 47 studies across 19 countries with 32,787 adolescents, the Juvenile Residential Facility Census (2022) covering more than 1,200 facilities, and supplementary data from OJJDP, SAMHSA, and NASHP databases. The sample population represented in the synthesized data comprised adolescents aged 10–19 detained in juvenile justice facilities across the United States and selected international jurisdictions. The primary analytical tool was comparative tabulation, whereby prevalence rates, service utilization percentages, and demographic disaggregation were organized into structured tables to facilitate cross-study comparison. Data extraction followed predetermined criteria including diagnostic specificity, sample representativeness, and methodological rigor. The analytical technique involved descriptive statistical comparison, stratification by gender and race/ethnicity, and temporal trend analysis to identify patterns of persistence or change in both mental health prevalence and service delivery metrics. All data used in this study were derived from publicly accessible, peer-reviewed publications and government reports, ensuring ethical compliance without requiring primary data collection.

5. Results

Table 1: Prevalence of Mental Disorders Among Detained Male Adolescents (Meta-Analytic Data)

Mental Disorder	Prevalence (%)	95% CI	General Population Estimate (%)
Conduct Disorder	61.7	55.4–67.9	6–10
ADHD	17.3	13.9–20.7	11
Major Depression	10.1	8.1–12.2	10
PTSD	8.6	6.4–10.7	2
Psychotic Illness	2.7	2.0–3.4	0.5

Source: Beaudry et al. (2021), Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 60(1), 46–60.

Table 1 presents the pooled prevalence estimates for five major psychiatric disorders among detained male adolescents derived from a meta-analysis of 47 international studies. Conduct disorder was the most prevalent

condition at 61.7%, approximately six to ten times higher than community rates. ADHD prevalence at 17.3% exceeded general population estimates, while depression rates were comparable but clinically significant given the custodial context. PTSD at 8.6% was over four times higher than the general male adolescent population rate of approximately 2%, and psychotic illness was five-fold elevated relative to community benchmarks.

Table 2: Prevalence of Mental Disorders Among Detained Female Adolescents (Meta-Analytic Data)

Mental Disorder	Prevalence (%)	95% CI	General Population Estimate (%)
Conduct Disorder	59.0	44.9–73.1	6–10
Major Depression	25.8	20.3–31.3	26
PTSD	18.2	13.1–23.2	8
ADHD	17.5	12.1–22.9	11
Psychotic Illness	2.9	2.4–3.5	0.5

Source: Beaudry et al. (2021), Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 60(1), 46–60.

Table 2 reveals that detained female adolescents exhibited markedly higher rates of major depression (25.8%) and PTSD (18.2%) compared to their male counterparts, confirming significant gender differences in internalizing disorder prevalence. While conduct disorder remained highly prevalent among females at 59.0%, the elevated rates of depression and trauma-related conditions underscore the necessity for gender-responsive psychiatric interventions within detention settings.

Table 3: Mental Health Screening Practices in Juvenile Residential Facilities (2000–2022)

Year	Facilities Screening All Youth for MH (%)	Screening Some Youth (%)	No Screening (%)
2000	70	16	14
2022	84	14	2

Source: Hockenberry (2024), OJJDP Juvenile Residential Facility Census.

Table 3 demonstrates that mental health screening in juvenile facilities improved substantially between 2000 and 2022, with the proportion of facilities conducting no mental health screening declining from 14% to 2%. By 2022, 98% of facilities reported screening at least some youth. However, as subsequent tables illustrate, the expansion of screening has not been accompanied by a proportional increase in actual treatment delivery, revealing a critical screening-to-treatment pipeline failure.

Table 4: Mental Health Service Utilization Among Youth With Disorders After Detention (Northwestern Juvenile Project)

Demographic Group	Received Needed Services (%)	Odds Ratio (95% CI)
Females with any disorder	<20	1.82 (1.41–2.35)
Males with any disorder	<20	Reference
Non-Hispanic White	Higher utilization	—
Black participants	Lower utilization	Significantly lower
Substance Use Disorders	Lowest utilization	—
Mood/Anxiety Disorders	Higher utilization	—

Source: Luna et al. (2024), Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 63(4), 422–432.

Table 4 presents findings from the 16-year longitudinal follow-up of 1,829 youth post-detention. Fewer than 20% of males and females with psychiatric disorders received needed services at any follow-up point through median age 32 years. Female participants had nearly twice the odds of receiving services compared to males. Black participants were significantly less likely to access treatment than non-Hispanic White participants, and youth with substance use disorders received the lowest rates of service compared to those with mood and anxiety disorders.

Table 5: Perceived Barriers to Mental Health Services Among Detained Youth

Barrier Type	Youth Reporting Barrier (%)
Belief problems will go away without help	Highest reported
Not knowing where to go for services	Significant among males
Distrust of mental health systems	Prevalent across groups
Inadequate insurance or Medicaid ineligibility	Structural barrier
Lack of community-based providers	Systemic gap

Source: Abram et al. (2008), Archives of General Psychiatry, 65(3), 291–300; Elkington et al. (2020).

Table 5 catalogues the principal barriers to mental health service utilization as perceived by detained youth. Approximately 85% of youth with psychiatric disorders reported at least one barrier. The most commonly cited barrier was the belief that problems would resolve without intervention. Among males who had been referred but never received services, uncertainty about where to seek help was particularly prominent. Structural barriers including insurance gaps and the absence of community providers compounded individual-level attitudinal barriers.

Table 6: Behavioral Health Needs and Service Receipt Among Justice-Involved Youth in Washington State (2022)

Indicator	Percentage (%)
Youth with behavioral health need prior to incarceration	80
Received mental health service via Medicaid within 90 days of release	32
Received substance use service via Medicaid within 90 days of release	14
Service gap (behavioral need but no mental health service post-release)	48

Source: National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP, 2025).

Table 6 provides state-level data from Washington illustrating the magnitude of post-release service discontinuity. While 80% of justice-involved youth had documented behavioral health needs before incarceration, only 32% accessed mental health services and a mere 14% received substance use services through Medicaid within three months of release. The 48-percentage-point gap between identified need and service receipt represents a critical vulnerability period during which youth face heightened risks of relapse, recidivism, and self-harm.

6. Discussion

The findings of this study converge to present a comprehensive picture of systemic inadequacy in meeting the mental health needs of detained adolescents, directly aligned with both research objectives. The first objective examining the prevalence and types of mental health disorders was addressed through Tables 1 and 2, which confirmed that psychiatric morbidity among detained youth is not only highly prevalent but also diagnostically diverse, with conduct disorder, depression, ADHD, and PTSD constituting the primary clinical burden. The pooled prevalence of conduct disorder exceeding 60% in both male and female detainees, as established by Beaudry et al. (2021), is particularly consequential because this condition is strongly associated with recidivism and violent reoffending when left untreated (Fazel et al., 2008). The elevated rates of PTSD among detained females (18.2%) relative to males (8.6%) corroborate the findings of Holzer et al. (2018), who demonstrated that justice-involved girls experience disproportionate exposure to interpersonal trauma, necessitating trauma-specific clinical responses that most detention facilities are not equipped to deliver. The second objective identifying service gaps and structural barriers was comprehensively addressed through Tables 3 through 6. The near-universal adoption of mental health screening by 2022 (Hockenberry, 2024), as shown in Table 3, represents an important procedural advancement. However, the persistent finding that fewer than 20% of youth with identified disorders receive needed services (Luna et al., 2024) exposes a fundamental disconnection between identification and intervention. This screening-to-treatment gap is compounded by the barriers documented in Table 5, where attitudinal factors such as denial of need and systemic factors such as insurance discontinuity and provider scarcity create a multi-layered obstacle to service delivery (Abram et al., 2008). The Washington state data in Table 6 provide a concrete illustration of this problem at the state level, where nearly half of youth with documented behavioral health needs received no mental health services within 90 days of release (NASHP, 2025).

The racial and gender disparities in service utilization identified in Table 4 demand particular attention. The finding that Black youth and male youth are significantly less likely to receive needed services compared to White and female youth (Luna et al., 2024) reflects broader patterns of structural racism and gendered assumptions within both the juvenile justice and mental health systems. As the Council of State Governments (2023) noted, juvenile justice stakeholders consistently identify the shortage of community-based mental health services as their most significant challenge, particularly in rural areas where the absence of culturally responsive providers disproportionately affects minority youth. The transition from juvenile to adult service systems introduces additional barriers, as youth age out of pediatric eligibility, lose family-mediated access to services, and encounter adult-oriented treatment modalities that are developmentally inappropriate (Davis et al., 2015). Lawrence et al. (2022) demonstrated that brief, acceptance-based interventions delivered within detention settings can achieve meaningful reductions in anxiety and psychological inflexibility, suggesting that the detention period itself may represent a viable, if underutilized, window for therapeutic engagement. Policy initiatives such as the Medicaid reentry provisions under the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2023, which beginning January 2025 require state Medicaid agencies to cover pre- and post-release screening and case management for justice-involved youth (NASHP, 2025), represent a promising systemic response. However, the translation of such legislative frameworks into clinical reality will depend critically on workforce development, inter-agency coordination, and a cultural shift within correctional institutions toward prioritizing therapeutic outcomes alongside public safety.

7. Conclusion

This study established that the mental health needs of youth in juvenile detention are extensive, clinically severe, and systematically unmet. Approximately two-thirds of detained adolescents meet criteria for

diagnosable psychiatric disorders, yet fewer than one in five receive needed treatment, a gap that persists well into adulthood. Gender and racial disparities compound these deficits, with male and Black youth experiencing the lowest service utilization rates. The expansion of screening protocols has not translated into proportional treatment delivery, indicating that the primary challenge has shifted from identification to implementation. Addressing these service gaps requires integrated, trauma-informed mental health frameworks embedded within juvenile justice systems, sustained investment in community-based provider networks, removal of insurance and eligibility barriers during the reentry transition, and systemic commitment to culturally responsive care. Without these structural reforms, the juvenile detention system will continue to function as a missed opportunity for psychiatric intervention, perpetuating cycles of untreated illness, recidivism, and lifelong psychosocial impairment.

8. References

1. Abram, K. M., Paskar, L. D., Washburn, J. J., & Teplin, L. A. (2008). Perceived barriers to mental health services among youth in detention. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *47*(3), 301–308. https://doi.org/10.1097/CHI.0b013e318160b3bb
2. Beaudry, G., Yu, R., Långström, N., & Fazel, S. (2021). An updated systematic review and meta-regression analysis: Mental disorders among adolescents in juvenile detention and correctional facilities. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *60*(1), 46–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2020.01.015
3. Council of State Governments Justice Center. (2023). *Mental health and substance use services for justice-involved youth: Challenges and strategies*. https://csgjusticecenter.org
4. Davis, M., Sheidow, A. J., & McCart, M. R. (2015). Reducing recidivism and symptoms in emerging adults with serious mental health conditions and justice system involvement. *Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, *42*(4), 436–451. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11414-014-9425-8
5. Elkington, K. S., Lee, J., Brooks, B., Watkins, J., Crandall, C., Scharff, A., & Leu, C. S. (2020). Bridging the gap: A protocol to address mental health service needs of justice-involved youth. *Implementation Science*, *15*(1), 91. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-020-01048-1
6. Fazel, S., Doll, H., & Långström, N. (2008). Mental disorders among adolescents in juvenile detention and correctional facilities: A systematic review and metaregression analysis of 25 surveys. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *47*(9), 1010–1019. https://doi.org/10.1097/CHI.0b013e31817eecf3
7. Hockenberry, S. (2024). *Juvenile residential facility census, 2022: Selected findings*. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. https://ojjdp.ojp.gov
8. Holzer, K. J., Oh, S., Salas-Wright, C. P., Vaughn, M. G., & King, E. (2018). Trends in mental health service utilization among justice system-involved girls. *Children and Youth Services Review*, *94*, 18–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2018.09.020
9. Kenny, D. T., Lennings, C. J., & Munn, O. A. (2023). The prevalence of mental illness in young people in custody over time: A comparison of three surveys in New South Wales. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*, *31*(2), 235–253. https://doi.org/10.1080/13218719.2023.2192257
10. Lawrence, E., Shrapnell, T., Graziano, R., Barry, R. A., Leeman, R. F., & O'Hara, K. L. (2022). Addressing a mental health intervention gap in juvenile detention: A pilot study. *Evidence-Based Practice in Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, *8*(2), 236–251. https://doi.org/10.1080/23794925.2022.2042873
11. Luna, M. J., Abram, K. M., Washburn, J. J., Stokes, M. L., Welty, L. J., McClelland, G. M., & Teplin, L. A. (2024). Inequities in mental health services: A 16-year longitudinal study of youth in the justice system. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *63*(4), 422–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2023.07.008

12. Martin, L. A., Meng, D., & Wiznitzer, M. (2024). Availability of community-based mental health services for justice-involved youth in New York. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *63*(3), 267–270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2023.09.546
13. National Academy for State Health Policy. (2025). *Opportunities for states to advance continuity of care for justice-involved youth*. https://nashp.org/opportunities-for-states-to-advance-continuity-of-care-for-justice-involved-youth/
14. Puzzanchera, C., Sladky, T. J., & Kang, W. (2023). *Easy access to the census of juveniles in residential placement*. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. https://ojjdp.ojp.gov
15. Rudd, B. N., Last, B. S., Engel, B., Shier, J. M., Kang-Yi, C. D., & Beidas, R. S. (2024). A state-wide evaluation of the implementation of evidence-based suicide prevention guidelines in juvenile detention centers. *Psychiatric Services*, *75*(7), 678–688. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.20220490
16. Shufelt, J. L., & Cocozza, J. J. (2006). *Youth with mental health disorders in the juvenile justice system: Results from a multi-state prevalence study*. National Center for Mental Health and Juvenile Justice. https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/youth-mental-health-disorders-juvenile-justice-system-results-multi
17. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2024). *About criminal and juvenile justice and behavioral health*. https://www.samhsa.gov/communities/criminal-juvenile-justice/about
18. Teplin, L. A., Abram, K. M., McClelland, G. M., Dulcan, M. K., & Mericle, A. A. (2002). Psychiatric disorders in youth in juvenile detention. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *59*(12), 1133–1143. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.59.12.1133
19. Wasserman, G. A., McReynolds, L. S., Schwalbe, C. S., Keating, J. M., & Jones, S. A. (2010). Psychiatric disorder, comorbidity, and suicidal behavior in juvenile justice youth. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, *37*(12), 1361–1376. https://doi.org/10.1177/0093854810382751
20. Elkington, K. S., Sichel, C. E., Engel, B., Guan, J., & Lee, J. (2025). Breaking the cycle: Connecting youth under community supervision to effective behavioral health services. *Health & Justice*, *13*, 42. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40352-025-00342-8